

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|---------------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| 10/751,579 | 01/05/2004 | Helmut Kayan | 2517DIV2CON (203-3449DIV2 | 3084 |
| Covidien | 7590 11/17/20 | 08 | EXAM | TINER |
| 60 Middletov | | | NGUYEN, VI X | |
| North Haven, | , CT 06473 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3734 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 11/17/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | pplication No. Applicant(s) | |
|------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| 10/751,579 | KAYAN ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Victor X. Nguyen | 3734 | |

| | Victor X. Nguyen | 3734 | |
|---|---|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 11 August 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | ALLOWANCE. | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following i application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire te Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (| dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | date of the final rejection | n. |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 705.070; Extensions of time may be oblished under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earmed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL. | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | nsideration and/or search (see NO | | cause |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or | ter form for appeal by materially red | ducing or simplifying th | ne issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c | corresponding number of finally reig | ected claims. | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1) | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 1. See attached Notice of Non-Co | mpliant Amendment (f | PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | • | • |
| 7. A For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | I be entered and an ex | cplanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected : 63-70. | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome all rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fails | to provide a |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | • | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered but See 3a. | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| | /Kevin T. Truong/ Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 3734 | |
| | | | |

Continuation of 3a. The proposed amendments to claims 63 and 71, "the plunger operably connected to the grip assembly and adapted to move the at least one blood vessel clip distally through the constricted region and the exit aperture...As to claim 71 recites wherein the distall movement of the plunger moves at least one blood vessel clip distally from the magazine and through the exit aperture" raise new issues which would require further consideration and/or search.

/Victor Nguyen/ 571-272-4699